

North East Local Area Committee

Thursday 2 March 2023 at 5.00 pm

**Chaucer School, Wordsworth
Avenue, Sheffield S5 8NH**

The Press and Public are Welcome to Attend

Local Area
Committees

Membership Engage · Empower · Enable

Councillor Fran Belbin (Chair)
Councillor Talib Hussain (Deputy Chair)
Councillor Mike Chaplin
Councillor Dawn Dale
Councillor Tony Damms
Councillor Jayne Dunn
Councillor Mark Jones
Councillor Abdul Khayum
Councillor Abtisam Mohamed
Councillor Peter Price
Councillor Safiya Saeed
Councillor Garry Weatherall

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE MEETING

Local Area Committees engage, enable, and empower communities across the city with increasing control over decision making, marking a major shift in power to communities. The Committees provide a geographical framework that, over time, will be used to prioritise and direct the local delivery of an increasing number of Council services and oversee the production of a co-produced annual Area Committee Plan which will reflect resident priorities.

A copy of the agenda and reports is available on the Council's website at www.sheffield.gov.uk. You may not be allowed to see some reports because they contain confidential information. These items are usually marked * on the agenda.

Members of the public have the right to ask questions or submit petitions to Area Committee meetings and recording is allowed under the direction of the Chair.

Please see the [website](#) or contact Democratic Services committee@sheffield.gov.uk for further information regarding public questions and petitions and details of the Council's [protocol on audio/visual recording and photography](#) at council meetings.

Local Area Committee meetings are normally open to the public but sometimes the Committee may have to discuss an item in private. If this happens, you will be asked to leave. Any private items are normally left until last.

Local Area Committee executive decisions are effective six working days after the meeting has taken place, unless called-in for scrutiny by the relevant Scrutiny Committee or referred to the City Council meeting, in which case the matter is normally resolved within the monthly cycle of meetings.

In order for us to be able to effectively facilitate attendance at the meeting, we would encourage all attendees to notify us of your attendance in advance by registering [here](#) or emailing committee@sheffield.gov.uk

If you require any further information please contact Philippa Burdett email Philippa.burdett@sheffield.gov.uk.

**NORTH EAST LOCAL AREA COMMITTEE AGENDA
2 MARCH 2023**

Order of Business

- 1. Welcome and Housekeeping Arrangements**
- 2. Apologies for Absence**
- 3. Exclusion of Public and Press**
To identify items where resolutions may be moved to exclude the press and public.
- 4. Declarations of Interest** (Pages 5 - 8)
Members to declare any interests they have in the business to be considered at the meeting.
- 5. Minutes of Previous Meeting** (Pages 9 - 16)
To approve the minutes of the Committee held on 17 January, 2023.
- 6. North East Local Area Committee Budget Report** (To Follow)
Report of Lindsey Hill, Local Area Committee Manager.
- 7. Look back over the last year of the North East Local Area Committee**
To receive an overview from the North East Local Area Committee.
- 8. Discussion workshops on the Community Plan priorities**
The live webcast to be paused to allow public participation in this interactive session.
- 9. Roadshow Interactive Session**
The live webcast to be paused to allow public participation in this interactive session.
- 10. Formal referrals to Policy Committees** (Pages 17 - 22)
To receive an update on any referrals to Policy Committees.
- 11. Public Questions and Petitions**
To receive any questions or petitions from members of the public.

NOTE: The next meeting of North East Local Area Committee will be held on a date to be agreed.

This page is intentionally left blank

ADVICE TO MEMBERS ON DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

If you are present at a meeting of the Council, of its Policy Committees, or of any committee, sub-committee, joint committee, or joint sub-committee of the authority, and you have a **Disclosable Pecuniary Interest** (DPI) relating to any business that will be considered at the meeting, you must not:

- participate in any discussion of the business at the meeting, or if you become aware of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interest during the meeting, participate further in any discussion of the business, or
- participate in any vote or further vote taken on the matter at the meeting.

These prohibitions apply to any form of participation, including speaking as a member of the public.

You **must**:

- leave the room (in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct)
- make a verbal declaration of the existence and nature of any DPI at any meeting at which you are present at which an item of business which affects or relates to the subject matter of that interest is under consideration, at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent.
- declare it to the meeting and notify the Council's Monitoring Officer within 28 days, if the DPI is not already registered.

If you have any of the following pecuniary interests, they are your **disclosable pecuniary interests** under the new national rules. You have a pecuniary interest if you, or your spouse or civil partner, have a pecuniary interest.

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain, which you, or your spouse or civil partner undertakes.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from your council or authority) made or provided within the relevant period* in respect of any expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

*The relevant period is the 12 months ending on the day when you tell the Monitoring Officer about your disclosable pecuniary interests.

- Any contract which is made between you, or your spouse or your civil partner (or a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest) and your council or authority –
 - under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and
 - which has not been fully discharged.

- Any beneficial interest in land which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, have and which is within the area of your council or authority.
- Any licence (alone or jointly with others) which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, holds to occupy land in the area of your council or authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) –
 - the landlord is your council or authority; and
 - the tenant is a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest which you, or your spouse or your civil partner has in securities of a body where -
 - (a) that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of your council or authority; and
 - (b) either -
 - the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or
 - if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

If you attend a meeting at which any item of business is to be considered and you are aware that you have a **personal interest** in the matter which does not amount to a DPI, you must make verbal declaration of the existence and nature of that interest at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent. You should leave the room if your continued presence is incompatible with the 7 Principles of Public Life (selflessness; integrity; objectivity; accountability; openness; honesty; and leadership).

You have a personal interest where –

- a decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial standing (including interests in land and easements over land) of you or a member of your family or a person or an organisation with whom you have a close association to a greater extent than it would affect the majority of the Council Tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the ward or electoral area for which you have been elected or otherwise of the Authority's administrative area, or
- it relates to or is likely to affect any of the interests that are defined as DPIs but are in respect of a member of your family (other than a partner) or a person with whom you have a close association.

Guidance on declarations of interest, incorporating regulations published by the Government in relation to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests, has been circulated to you previously.

You should identify any potential interest you may have relating to business to be considered at the meeting. This will help you and anyone that you ask for advice to fully consider all the circumstances before deciding what action you should take.

In certain circumstances the Council may grant a **dispensation** to permit a Member to take part in the business of the Authority even if the member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest relating to that business.

To obtain a dispensation, you must write to the Monitoring Officer at least 48 hours before the meeting in question, explaining why a dispensation is sought and desirable, and specifying the period of time for which it is sought. The Monitoring Officer may consult with the Independent Person or the Council's Standards Committee in relation to a request for dispensation.

Further advice can be obtained from David Hollis, Interim Director of Legal and Governance by emailing david.hollis@sheffield.gov.uk.

This page is intentionally left blank

SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

North East Local Area Committee

Meeting held 17 January 2023

PRESENT: Councillors Fran Belbin (Chair), Talib Hussain (Deputy Chair), Jayne Dunn, Mark Jones, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Peter Price and Safiya Saeed

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Mike Chaplin, Dawn Dale, Tony Damms and Garry Weatherall.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

- 2.1 No items were identified where resolutions may be moved to exclude the public and press.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 3.1 There were no declarations of interest.

4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

- 4.1 The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 25 October, 2022, were approved as a correct record.

5. NORTH EAST LOCAL AREA COMMITTEE BUDGET REPORT

- 5.1 The Committee considered a report of the Community Services Manager (Lindsey Hill) that advised each Local Area Committee had a £100,000 budget to address local priorities, identified within their respective Community Plans. The report set out details of the spend in respect of the £100,000 that had been authorised by the Community Services Manager, in consultation with the LAC Chair and in accordance with the delegation granted in September 2021.
- 5.2 The report highlighted current spend and recommended a further priority. This was a new priority not previously identified in the approved Community Plan, and was requested as an addition following a presentation on the cost of living crisis at the meeting held 25 October, 2022. At the meeting in July 2022, a contingency budget of £20,000 was reserved for the delivery of unforeseen priorities and activities. The LAC was asked to formally agree that responding to the cost of living crisis be identified as a priority, and that the £20,000 contingency budget be reallocated to this priority.

- 5.3 A question was raised by a member of the public as to whether the Local Area Committee budget could be used to provide new community Christmas trees in Firth Park and Wincobank.
- 5.4 In response, the Chair advised that this would be funded from a separate budget. She noted the request and advised that this would be considered by Firth Park Councillors.
- 5.5 Councillor Talib Hussain asked for information on how to apply for grants under the new priority, and what the budget allocation was for this.
- 5.6 In response, Ms Hill advised that any group could apply for up to £500 for their welcoming place, and this was on a 'first come, first served' basis. She added that all welcoming places could advertise their details on the Voluntary Action Sheffield website.

5.7 **RESOLVED:** That the North East Local Area Committee: -

- i) notes the expenditure against the £100,000 budget to address local priorities in the North East Local Area Committee in 2022/3, as detailed in the report; and
- ii) agrees that a new Cost of Living priority be added to the Community Plan, as described in the report, and that the contingency budget of £20,000 previously identified be allocated to this priority and used to fund grants for Welcoming Places, as described in the report, or other activities which address this priority.

5.8 **Reasons for Decision**

- 5.8.1 The North East Local Area Committee was asked to note the use of funding as per the previous decision on 23 September, 2021.
- 5.8.2 To agree the allocation of the contingency budget to fund cost of living grants that would deliver projects and activities by organisations and groups to address key priority areas identified in the North East Community Plan.

5.4 **Alternatives Considered and Rejected**

- 5.4.1 The £20,000 contingency fund remained unallocated to spend, other options such as using the funds to deliver lower priority actions in the eight priorities set out in the Community Plan were considered but the deliverable actions were not considered achievable within the remaining three months of 2022/23.

6. COMMUNITY INFORMATION CONSULTATION

- 6.1 Teresa Hogan-Watt, Ward Team Leader (Housing Service) shared a presentation on 'Safer Neighbourhood Services: How we work together to reduce crime and antisocial behaviour in the North East'.

- 6.2 Also present for this item were Sophie Tunnard, Safer Neighbourhood Coordinator (Community Safety Team, Sheffield City Council), Sergeant Scott Sarson, (South Yorkshire Police), Billie-Jo Harris, Resolution Officer (Anti-Social Behaviour Team, Sheffield City Council) and Simon Fysh, Sustainable Communities Officer Team Leader (Antisocial Behaviour Team, Sheffield City Council).
- 6.3 Ms Hogan-Watt outlined a problem that had occurred at Palgrave Road, which started out as low-level anti-social behaviour but had gradually escalated into a complex situation. A vacant house had been boarded up, and subsequently block paving had been stolen from the front garden, and someone was found to be living in a shed in the garden. Neighbourhood Officers had attended in an attempt to move the person on, and had advised him to present as homeless. Community Safety Officers were also involved, and as they worked shifts, were able to patrol the area. Copper piping was found in the shed and, when confronted, the occupant became aggressive and was removed by police officers. He subsequently returned and set up a tent in the garden of the property, and would not accept help in relocating. It was later discovered that he was removing bricks to gain entry to the house, and by doing this, had been able to strip the house of its boiler and radiators. He had transported materials at night and had burned waste in the garden during the day. Ms Hogan-Watt stated that initially residents had felt too scared to contact support teams, and some had left their homes due to harassment and damage to their properties. However, she confirmed that they gradually became engaged with officers and began to report issues and work together in order to gain intelligence.
- 6.4 Sophie Tunnard advised that her role as a Safer Neighbourhood Coordinator was to coordinate multi-agency problem solving. She explained that she was based at Ecclesfield Police station and had a close working relationship with other partner agencies. She had gathered information from partners and residents to understand the complexity of the problem and the individuals involved, to allow her to identify the issues to be tackled. She noted that the situation was having an impact on the lives of those living in the community, and a long-term solution was needed, which was not achievable by any one sole agency. Local intelligence and information gathering had helped the team to understand the personal circumstances of the individual involved, and whether there might be other factors that were driving his behaviour. She advised that a referral was made to the Changing Futures Team to address any support that might be needed, and a joint action plan was then devised.
- 6.5 Sergeant Scott Sarson advised that it had quickly become apparent that partnership working was needed. There were other unoccupied properties in this area, and this had led to incidents such as fire, thefts, burglary, anti-social behaviour, criminal damage and fly-tipping. He noted that public confidence and fear had been significantly impacted for those living nearby and that, at the same time, offender confidence had increased. Sergeant Sarson explained that the Police Team began using the property as a 'Police Community House', and it was used for both overt/covert purposes in order to gain an increased knowledge of offenders and patterns of criminality. He noted that there was an immediate

increase in public confidence and decrease in offender confidence. The 'broken window' effect was put into reverse and the immediate area around the property stabilised. After two and a half years, the house repairs were completed, and a new tenant moved into the property within days of the police moving out.

- 6.6 Billie-Jo Harris reported that the Anti-Social Behaviour Team became involved as this was an urgent case that required legal intervention. Officers were tasked with patrolling at different hours of the day to gather evidence that could support legal action. This included door-knocking, WhatsApp messages, photographic evidence and incident logs. She confirmed that the evidence that had been collected via the different teams resulted in an injunction being applied for, which was then granted and served on the individual.
- 6.7 Simon Fysh explained that his role as a Sustainable Communities Officer had been to support the other agencies involved. This was done by providing evidence and community intelligence to support legal action, providing support to individuals, including victims and witnesses, and providing visible patrols.
- 6.8 Ms Harris advised that the actions that were taken collectively helped to reassure residents and build confidence in reporting issues. The injunction that was in place had a number of conditions, and there was the option to take further action if any of the conditions were breached.
- 6.9 Ms Tunnard summarised the outcomes of this multi-agency project, stating that the individual concerned had been given a prison sentence, and had been assigned a probation worker and conditions upon release. Properties in the area had been let to families, unsafe buildings had been secured, refurbishment was planned, residents felt safer and there had been a decrease in crime statistics.
- 6.10 Sergeant Sarson added that, as a result of the multi-agency work carried out, demand on South Yorkshire Police and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Services in that area had greatly reduced.
- 6.11 Ms Tunnard shared the following contact details for the teams who were in attendance at the meeting:

Where to report anti-social behaviour issues:

Generic email: ASB@sheffield.gov.uk
[Pollution and nuisance | Sheffield City Council](#)

Neighbourhood Police team contacts:

Sergeant Matthew Cook- 07557254992
[Sheffield North East - SYP \(southyorks.police.uk\)](mailto:SouthYorkshirePolice@southyorks.police.uk)

Council Housing Service:

Telephone: 0114 2930000

- 6.12 A member of the public (Mick Daniels) raised concerns that the multi-agency approach did not work and was costly, and he asked why other solutions weren't considered, such as fitting a burglar alarm to the property. He queried how much rent was 'lost' while the property was vacant and was being used as a community house. He also believed that there were not enough wardens in the area.
- 6.13 Ms Tunnard advised that a figure for lost rent had not been calculated and that a multi-agency had been appropriate for this case. She added that this had been a unique situation and that this level of damage had not been seen in the city before.
- 6.14 In relation to the query about alternative solutions, Sergeant Sarson confirmed that other tactics had been considered, but were not deemed to be appropriate at that time.
- 6.15 Mr Fysh confirmed that there were four Senior Sustainable Community Officers and 18 Sustainable Community Officers that operated across Sheffield.
- 6.16 Councillor Peter Price stated that this type of work had been rationalised due to lack of government funding, and that different solutions were needed for different areas.
- 6.17 Melanie King (Sanctuary Housing) was of the opinion that the approach taken had been positive. She was aware that it may have been costly, but believed that more properties would have otherwise remained empty.

7. ROADSHOW INTERACTIVE SESSION

- 7.1 The Local Area Committee and members of the public were invited to browse the information stalls situated around the room which contained information relating to the different priorities of the North Local Area Committee Community Plan.

8. COMMUNITY SAFETY

- 8.1 Megan Ohri, Partnership Manager at Southey and Owlerton Area Regeneration (SOAR), advised that SOAR was a community anchor organisation based at Parson Cross that worked across north east Sheffield. She explained that a consultation across north east Sheffield had gone live online today, that aimed to look at how people found out what was going on within their community. This would be a two-way conversation, and aimed to help people understand how they might input into Local Area Committee priorities. Paper copies of the consultation were available to take away and to share with those not present at the meeting. Ms Ohri confirmed that this consultation was being carried out in partnership with the Burngreave Messenger community newspaper, and aimed to understand how residents preferred to receive community information and news. She welcomed insights from residents, and advised that her team would be happy to attend meetings of local organisations in order to gain more views.

- 8.2 The Chair thanked Ms Ohri for attending, and advised that the Local Area Committee Team would also share the consultation information in order to help gain a clear idea of what people want and to help reach further into communities.

9. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS

- 9.1 The Committee received the following questions from a member of the public who had submitted the questions prior to the meeting, and who attended the meeting to raise them:-

(a) *Graham Jones, resident of Burngreave and Member of the Burngreave Clean Air Campaign*

In view of the imminent introduction of a City Centre Clean Air Zone which, through traffic displacement, is forecast to worsen already illegal levels of air pollution along some of our local roads, what measures are being taken in north east Sheffield to minimise this health and life threatening assault on some of the poorest districts in the city? Is the city council giving these areas additional finance to reduce this deliberate threat to our public health? Or does our council accept that our residents are relatively expendable as we live in a sacrifice zone where our life expectancy is already up to ten years less than other some other parts of the city?

In response, the Chair advised that this issue would be referred to the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee as it affected all parts of the city. She noted that it was a Government requirement that the Council implement a Clean Air Zone (CAZ), and it was required to be in place until city centre pollution had reduced to specific levels. She added that although the Council had been given some flexibility in how the CAZ was implemented, there were restrictions placed upon how the money received from the charging of vehicles was spent. She appreciated the points raised, and confirmed that many members would have preferred the funding to have been allowed to be invested in different ways across the city where levels of pollution are also high.

Councillor Mark Jones thanked Mr Jones for his ongoing work with this campaign. He believed that the Government had failed to limit pollution effectively and had transferred the responsibility onto the Council. He stated that the Council had received one-third of the funding that had been requested, and agreed that areas outside of the city centre would be affected by road users choosing different routes. He advised that air monitoring stations in Burngreave had shown that certain areas had high pollution levels. He noted studies that showed that becoming more active could help to tackle certain diseases, but was concerned about the difficulties faced by those with respiratory conditions. He believed that improvements could be made to this situation with more trams, improvements to bus routes and more electric buses. He added that action was needed to ensure residents did not suffer.

Councillor Peter Price added that air quality action had come a long way since the

days of 'smog', but there was still a long way to go, and he believed that it was important that people took some responsibility for their individual travel choice in order to help tackle the problem. He noted that the M1 motorway impacted upon Sheffield's air quality, and believed that it was important to invest in different routes and to improve public transport options.

RESOLVED: That the North East Local Area Committee refer the issue around the potential impact of the Sheffield Clean Air Zone on air pollution across the rest of the city be referred to the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee.

The Committee received the following question from Jodie Marshall who was not in attendance at the meeting and had submitted a question prior to the meeting, which the Chair read out:

(b) Jodie Marshall

As a new parent living in Shiregreen, the amount of illegal parking is forcing me onto the road, people parking on double yellow. Photos have been submitted to Parking Services.

The Chair advised that this information had been passed to Parking Services who would patrol the area. She noted that this was a problem across the region with a limited enforcement team, and she believed that it was important for car owners to take some personal responsibility.

Sergeant Scott Sarson advised that incidents causing obstruction be reported to South Yorkshire Police.

The Committee received the following questions from members of the public who had submitted the questions prior to the meeting, and who attended the meeting to raise them:-

(c) Mick Daniels

I thought the Local Area Committee was set up to help to tidy estates up. Why is this not happening? I have reported leaf clearing but they've only done half of the estate. Firth Park has the biggest tree canopy in Sheffield but other parts of Sheffield have more frequent leaf clearing. Does the Local Area Committee team walk around the estate? Flytipping is also getting worse.

The Chair confirmed that Local Area Committees had been established in response to the Big City Conversation, which had believed the Council to be a distant and centralised organisation. The aim of Local Area Committees was to connect more with residents. She noted that they were not a substitute for teams such as Housing and Amey, but aimed to make Council Services more responsive and approachable. She advised that Amey had a street cleaning and leaf clearing schedule, and that concerns should be raised directly with Amey or via local Councillors.

Councillor Peter Price noted that it was not possible for the Local Area Committee team to walk around the whole area. He believed that it was important to enable communities so that they were able to help themselves.

Councillor Mark Jones stated that at the meeting of the Waste and Street Scene Policy Committee on 21 December, 2022, a report on Amey's performance had been heard, resulting in Amey being required to increase investment into improving service delivery. He advised that this would be monitored, and hoped that reporting of issues would become easier. He asked that residents continue to report issues affecting them.

The Chair asked that anyone who had been affected by flooding in Longley or Southey Green contact their local Councillors if they needed any further help.

(d) Public Questioner No. 4

Leaves are a big problem and it is impossible for Amey to clear them because of parked cars. It would be good to have people working together to coordinate this. Flytipping is our responsibility as individuals to report either by contacting Amey or by phoning our local councillors.

The Chair confirmed that she had tried to get a cleaning schedule from Amey but had not been given a specific day when clearing would take place.

9.2 Mick Daniels wished to congratulate Councillor Abtisam Mohamed on being nominated as the Labour Party candidate for the Sheffield Central constituency. He asked that she share information publicly so that people were aware that she was still working as a Councillor for Firth Park Ward.

9.3 The Chair confirmed that Councillor Mohamed remained a Councillor for the Firth Park Ward.

10. FORMAL REFERRALS TO POLICY COMMITTEES

10.1 The Chair provided an update on a referral that was made to the Housing Policy Committee regarding the regulation of private landlords. She confirmed that the Housing Policy Committee had agreed to look at selective licensing as part of a wider review at its next meeting in March 2023. She added that although there was no longer a selective licensing scheme in place in Page Hall, there was one in place in Nether Edge, and lessons learnt from both of these schemes would be evaluated.



NORTH EAST LOCAL AREA COMMITTEE POLICY COMMITTEE REFERRAL RESPONSE



Public question from Graham Jones, raised at the North East Local Area Committee on 17 January, 2023

Question:

In view of the imminent introduction of a City Centre Clean Air Zone which, through traffic displacement, is forecast to worsen already illegal levels of air pollution along some of our local roads, what measures are being taken in north east Sheffield to minimise this health and life threatening assault on some of the poorest districts in the city? Is the city council giving these areas additional finance to reduce this deliberate threat to our public health? Or does our council accept that our residents are relatively expendable as we live in a sacrifice zone where our life expectancy is already up to ten years less than other some other parts of the city?

Resolution at the North East LAC meeting:

That the North East Local Area Committee refer the issue around the potential impact of the Sheffield Clean Air Zone on air pollution across the rest of the city to the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee.

Outcome of referral to the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee:

The issue was referred to officers for a response.

Response:

Sheffield City Council has legal duties to monitor and improve air quality across the entirety of the Sheffield district, which is not isolated to the boundaries currently associated with the Clean Air Zone (CAZ). It is for this reason that the development of the CAZ boundary was underpinned with modelling and data to minimise the impact of the measure, whilst not having significant impact on air pollution because of displacement.

Sheffield City Council is currently working to meet two legal air quality regimes, which differ in prescription on how compliance is judged and as such plans/measures to achieve the objectives also vary.

The first regime that the Council are working to comply with is a ministerial direction which instructed the Council to develop a Clean Air Plan to help meet national compliance with the European Union (EU) long-term objective for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). This regime uses air quality modelling to determine compliance, with the use of monitoring data to validate the

model outputs and as such deployment of some of the monitoring network is designed to meet criteria for model verification purposes. The primary measure contained within the Council's Clean Air Plan is to implement a CAZ. According to the prescribed system used to demonstrate national compliance, concentrations for NO₂ in Burngreave, Firth Park, Shiregreen and Brightside and Southey meet the EU objective without the need of inclusion in the CAZ.

Sheffield also has a separate legal duty passed down through the Environment Act 1995, which is known as Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). Through LAQM, the authority assesses against a legally prescribed set of pollutant objectives, which include NO₂ but also Particulate Matter (PM₁₀ & PM_{2.5}). Under this regime, compliance is predominantly demonstrated using monitoring. Therefore, the Council deploys part of the monitoring network at locations near population exposure to determine if exceedances of the objectives for NO₂ and PM₁₀ are occurring and where. Non-compliance of the objectives results in the declaration of an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and the need for a Clean Air Strategy and Action Plan. Under LAQM, Sheffield City Council declared a districtwide AQMA in 2010 for short-term NO₂ and long-term NO₂ and PM₁₀ objectives. In 2015, the Council adopted an Action Plan to achieve compliance, which was reinforced with the adoption of the Council's Clean Air Strategy in 2017. Using the LAQM prescribed system to determine compliance, we have historically monitored within the Firth Park and Southey electoral wards, noting that concentrations were well below prescribed objectives. Burngreave last exceeded the long-term NO₂ objective in 2019, but complied with others, whilst monitoring in Shiregreen & Brightside has complied over the last five years.

With regard to current monitoring sites within the North East Local Area Committee wards, please find below the observed annual concentrations for the last five years for NO₂:

Location	Ward	Monitoring type	2018 ug/m3	2019 ug/m3	2020 ug/m3	2021 ug/m3
Orphanage Road / Barnsley Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	48.0 NR(41.8)	47.0 NR(45.2)	38.0 NR(32.8)	37.4 NR(32.2)
Spital Hill	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	57.0	63.0	48.3	48.7
Owler Lane / Firth Park Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	45.0 NR(34.6)	48.0 NR(41.9)	39.3	37.4 NR(31.4)
Rutland Road / Pitsmoor Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			37.5 NR(32.8)	38.3
Astrea Academy / Andover Street	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			19.0	18.1
Herries Road / Barnsley Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			47.5	50.0
Toll House Burn Greave Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube				30.5
73 Burngreave Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	40.0	39.0	33.9	37.0
Attercliffe Road (Tesco)	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	37.0	42.0	33.6	35.4
Savile Street East (Gripple)	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube	31.0	34.0	26.4	26.4
Parkwood Springs Health Viewpoint	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			17.0	14.0

Rutland Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			38.0	37.6
Longley Avenue West	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			13.8	13.7
Shirecliffe Road	Burngreave	Diffusion Tube			21.1	22.7
Upwell Street	Shiregreen & Brightside	Diffusion Tube	35.0	38.0	32.9	33.6
Meadowhall Road	Shiregreen & Brightside	Diffusion Tube	28.0	27.0	20.6	21.8
Brightside Lane (Jenkin Road)	Shiregreen & Brightside	Diffusion Tube	49.0	56.0	44.0*1 NR(36.1)	45.7* 1 NR(37.4)
Meadowhall Road (M1 34N)	Shiregreen & Brightside	Diffusion Tube	53.0	54.0	40.0	42.1
UKA00622 (Barnsley Road) (AURN)	Burngreave	Real-time Monitor	37.0	38.0	32.0	35.0

**1 Relevant Population exposure introduced at this location in 2020*

For the purposes of the LAQM regime, where concentrations are close to/exceed long-term health related objectives (40ug/m3 annual mean), the exposure at the nearest relevant population exposure is calculated, in accordance with guidance for long term objectives in residential properties. Locations within the table without nearest receptor figures have not been corrected because these monitoring sites do not meet the requirements for demonstrating long-term population exposure for LAQM. Prior to and including 2019, all but two sites were compliant with long-term objectives. These sites were Orphanage Road and Owler Lane, though these have fallen into compliance since the pandemic. Therefore, all sites have been compliant since 2019.

All monitoring sites are used to indicate whether the short-term objectives (60ug/m3 annual mean) are exceeded, which shows total compliance at all but one site over the last five years. The one site that showed exceedance was at Spital Hill, which occurred in 2019, though pre and post 2019 concentrations have been compliant, which indicates that this year was an outlier.

Within the boundaries of the North East Local Areas Committee, there is also a real-time monitor on Barnsley Road (A6137), at which PM2.5 concentrations are monitored. The monitored concentrations over the last 5 years were:

Location	Monitoring type	2018 ug/m3	2019 ug/m3	2020 ug/m3	2021 ug/m3
UKA00622 (Barnsley Road) (AURN)	Real-time Monitor		14.5	9.0	8.0

For the purposes of PM2.5, the current limit is 25ug/m3 for the annual average, though there is a review of this current limit value, with consultations in 2022 suggesting alignment with the pre 2021 World Health Organisation limit of 10ug/m3. As such, concentrations in 2019, 2020 and 2021 were compliant with the current objective, and over the last two years concentrations have been below 10ug/m3.

According to the data, all sites currently comply with long-term and short-term objectives and have done for the last three years. Concentration trends were showing a steady fall prior to 2019, with concentration increases observed citywide in 2019 as a result of outlying weather that year. Large concentration reductions occurred in 2020 due to pandemic measures, followed by a steady increase in 2021 as pandemic measures were removed.

The increases for concentration within the boundaries of the North East Local Area Committee monitoring sites over 2021 are reflective of the citywide picture as well of those nationally.

Concentrations in 2021 at these locations comply with health-related objectives and it is noted that concentrations remain below pre-pandemic levels, which aligns with the pre-pandemic trend of continued improvement.

With regard to the National Compliance regime, which includes the Clean Air Zone work, modelling was carried out for the entirety of the city to determine appropriate measures to meet EU compliance. In order to achieve EU compliance, modelled concentrations of NO₂ had to be 40ug/m3 or below at a four-metre distance from the roadside. This modelling exercise determined the boundary of the CAZ, taking into account the consideration of displacement impact. A 2017 base model was created and verified against 2017 monitoring data across the district to give the Council confidence in future predicted outputs. From the North East Local Areas Committee monitoring sites that are presented above, three locations met EU prescribed monitoring criteria for validating the model, which were Orphanage Road, Upwell Street and Attercliffe Road (Tesco) monitoring sites. Once the base model validation was complete, future scenario modelling was conducted to determine predicted concentrations at 4m along this link. According to the modelling exercise, even though the areas of Burngreave, Firth Park, Shiregreen and Brighside and Southey are not included in the CAZ, the model shows that the proposed type and boundary of CAZ is estimated to have a positive impact in the areas with concentrations improving along main A-roads above that of what would be expected from a “business as usual” scenario. The concentration difference between the 2022 modelled outputs and those observed by our 2022 monitor occur because concentrations for EU compliance are taken at 4m from the road, whereas the monitoring location is in closer proximity to the roadside.

Location	Census_ID	X at 4m	Y at 4m	Nr 1517 2022 Buisness as Usual NO2	Nr 1548 2023 Preferred Option NO2
Orphanage Road / Barnsley Road	n/a	435789	389592	37.2	33.2
Upwell Street	7817	437701	390085	25.7	24.1
Attercliffe Road (Tesco)	56863	436322	388232	27.7	23.9

The impact of the CAZ will be closely monitored by Sheffield City Council and national Government to determine the real-world impact of the CAZ.

Notwithstanding the above, the Council accepts that whilst the Clean Air Zone meets the minimum legal requirements outlined in the ministerial direction to meet compliance with the national regime, as part of our LAQM regime function, further works are needed to continue to improve air quality across the city including the areas of Burngreave, Firth Park, Shiregreen and Brightside and Southey, not just for NO₂ but for also for particulate matter. Given that there is no 'safe' level of air pollution, we are beginning a review of the Clean Air Strategy and Council Action Plan to ensure they meet local needs and future demands. As part of this process, we will be seeking input from stakeholders, to ensure that the policies have local support and are fit for purpose.

This page is intentionally left blank